

UPR-485-T

September 1991

Topological Defects in the Moduli Sector of String Theory[★]

MIRJAM CVETIČ

*Department of Physics**University of Pennsylvania**Philadelphia, PA 19104-6396*

ABSTRACT

We point out that the moduli sector of the $(2, 2)$ string compactification with its nonperturbatively preserved non-compact symmetries is a fertile framework to study global topological defects, thus providing a natural source for the large scale structure formation. Based on the target space modular invariance of the non-perturbative superpotential of the four-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetric string vacua, topologically stable stringy domain walls are found. They are supersymmetric solutions, thus saturating the Bogomolnyi bound. It is also shown that there are moduli sectors that allow for the global monopole-type and texture-type configurations whose radial stability is ensured by higher derivative terms.

★ Talk Presented at Strings '91 Workshop, May 20-25, 1991, Stony Brook, N.Y.

Topological defects occur during the spontaneous break-down of gauge symmetries, as a consequence of the nontrivial homotopy group Π_n of the vacuum manifolds. Their existence has important cosmological consequences. In particular global topological defects, like textures^{1,2} and more recently, global monopoles^{3,4} as well as global Π_2 textures^{5,6} were proposed as a source of large scale structure formation. On the other hand, in the framework of grand-unified theories (or theories beyond the standard model) it is often unnatural to impose global non-Abelian symmetries that would ensure the existence of such global topological defects. Here we would like to point out that in the string theory, the moduli sector of $(2, 2)$ string compactification provides a natural framework for such global defects, with its potentially important physical implications.

In $(2, 2)$ string compactifications, where $(2, 2)$ stands for $N = 2$ left-moving as well as $N = 2$ right-moving world-sheet supersymmetry, there are massless fields – moduli M – which have no potential, *i.e.* $V(M) \equiv 0$, to all orders in string loops.⁷ Thus perturbatively there is a large degeneracy of string vacua, since any vacuum expectation value of moduli corresponds to the vacuum solution. On the other hand it is known that nonperturbative stringy effects like gaugino condensation⁸ and axionic string instantons⁹ give rise to the nonperturbative superpotential.

In the case of the modulus T associated with the internal size of the compactified space for the so-called flat background compactifications (*e.g.*, orbifolds, self-dual lattice constructions, fermionic constructions) the generalized target space duality is characterized by noncompact discrete group $PSL(2, Z) = SL(2, Z)/Z_2$ specified by $T \rightarrow \frac{aT - ib}{icT + d}$ with $a, b, c, d \in Z$ and $ad - bc = 1$. If one assumes that the generalized target space duality is preserved even nonperturbatively,^{10,11} the form of the nonperturbative superpotential is very restrictive.¹¹ The fact that this is an exact symmetry of string theory even at the level of nonperturbative effects is supported by genus-one threshold calculations,^{12,13} which in turn specify the form of the gaugino condensate.^{14,15}

This phenomenon has intriguing physical implications leading to the stable supersymmetric domain walls.¹⁶ This physics of modulus T is actually a generalization of the well known axion physics¹⁷ introduced to solve the strong CP problem in QCD. Spontaneously broken global $U(1)$ Peccei-Quinn symmetry is non-linearly realized through a pseudo-Goldstone boson, the invisible axion θ . Nonperturbative QCD effects through the axial anomaly break explicitly $U(1)$ symmetry down to Z_{N_f} , by generating an effective potential proportional to $1 - \cos N_f \theta$. This potential leads to domain wall solutions¹⁸ with N_f walls meeting at the axionic strings.¹⁷

As an instructive example let's first consider a global supersymmetric theory

by with

$$L = G_{T\bar{T}}|\nabla T|^2 + G^{T\bar{T}}|\partial_T W(T)|^2 \quad (1)$$

Here, $G_{T\bar{T}} \equiv \partial_T \partial_{\bar{T}} K(T, \bar{T})$ is the positive definite metric on the complex modulus space and the superpotential, W , is a rational polynomial $P(j(T))$ of the modular-invariant function $j(T)$ ¹⁹ *i.e.* a modular invariant form of $PSL(2, \mathbf{Z})$. The potential $V \equiv G^{T\bar{T}}|\partial_T W(T)|^2 = G^{T\bar{T}}|\partial_j P(j)\partial_T j(T)|^2$ has at least two isolated zeros at $T = 1$ and $T = \rho \equiv e^{i\pi/6}$ in the fundamental domain $\mathcal{D}$ for T , *i.e.* when $|\partial_T j(T)|^2 = 0$.¹⁹ Other isolated degenerate minima might as well arise when $|\partial_j P(j)|^2 = 0$.

Then, the mass per unit area of the domain wall can be written as:²⁰

$$\mu = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz G_{T\bar{T}}|\partial_z T - e^{i\theta} G^{T\bar{T}} \partial_{\bar{T}} \bar{W}(\bar{T})|^2 + 2Re(e^{-i\theta} \Delta W) \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta W \equiv W(T(z = \infty)) - W(T(z = -\infty))$. The arbitrary phase θ has to be chosen such that $e^{i\theta} = \Delta W/|\Delta W|$, thus maximizing the cross term in Eq. (2). Then, we find $\mu \geq K \equiv 2|\Delta W|$, where K denotes the kink number. Since $\partial_T W$ is analytic in T , the line integral over T is *path independent* as for a conservative force. The minimum is obtained only if the Bogomolnyi bound $\partial_z T(z) = G^{T\bar{T}} e^{i\theta} \partial_{\bar{T}} \bar{W}(\bar{T}(z))$ is saturated. In this case $\partial_z W(T(z)) = G^{T\bar{T}} e^{i\theta} |\partial_T W(T(z))|^2$, which implies that the phase of $\partial_z W$ does not change with z . Thus, the supersymmetric domain wall is a mapping from the z -axis $[-\infty, \infty]$ to a *straight line* connecting between two degenerate vacua in the W -plane. We would like to emphasize that this result is general; it applies to any globally supersymmetric theory with disconnected degenerate minima that preserve supersymmetry.

For the superpotential, *e.g.* $W(T) = (\alpha')^{-3/2} j(T)$ the potential has two isolated degenerate minima at $T = 1$ and $T = \rho \equiv e^{i\pi/6}$. At these fixed points, $j(T = \rho) = 0$ and $j(T = 1) = 1728$. Therefore, the mass per unit area is $\mu = 2 \times 1728(\alpha')^{-3/2}$. Other cases can be worked out analogously.²¹

The case with gravity restored has a Kähler potential $K = -3 \log(T + \bar{T})$ and the superpotential should transform as a weight -3 modular function under modular transformations.^{22,11} The most general choice, nonsingular everywhere in the fundamental domain $\mathcal{D}$, is

$$W_{m,n}(T) = \frac{H_{m,n}(T)}{\eta(T)^6}, \quad H_{m,n} \equiv (j(T) - 1728)^{m/2} \cdot j^{n/3}(T) P(j(T)), \quad m, n = \mathbf{R}^+ \quad (3)$$

Here, $\eta(T)$ is the Dedekind eta function, a modular form of weight $1/2$ and $P(j(T))$

is an arbitrary polynomial of $j(T)$. The potential is of the following form:

$$V_{m,n}(T, \bar{T}) = \frac{3|H|^2}{(T + \bar{T})^3 |\eta|^{12}} \left(\left| \frac{(T + \bar{T})}{3} \left(\frac{\partial_T H}{H} + \frac{3}{2\pi} \hat{G}_2 \right) \right|^2 - 1 \right) \quad (4)$$

where $\hat{G}_2 = -4\pi \partial_T \eta / \eta - 2\pi / (T + \bar{T})$. In general the scalar potential (4) has an anti-de Sitter minimum with broken supersymmetry.¹¹ However, one can see that for $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 2$ and $P(j) = 1$, the potential is semi-positive definite with the two isolated minima at $T = 1$ and $T = \rho$ with it unbroken local supersymmetry just like in the global supersymmetric case.

We now minimize the domain wall mass density. By the planar symmetry, the most general *static* Ansatz for the metric²⁴ is $ds^2 = A(|z|)(-dt^2 + dz^2) + B(|z|)(dx^2 + dy^2)$ in which the domain wall is oriented parallel to (x, y) plane. Using the supersymmetry transformation laws

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\psi_{\mu\alpha} &= [\nabla_\mu(\omega) - \frac{i}{2} \text{Im}(G_T \nabla_\mu T)] \epsilon_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_\mu \bar{\epsilon})_\alpha e^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}, \\ \delta\chi_\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^\mu \bar{\epsilon})_\alpha \nabla_\mu T - e^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} G^{T\bar{T}} G_{\bar{T}} \epsilon_\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with commuting, covariantly constant, chiral spinors $\epsilon_\pm$, the ADM mass density μ can be expressed as²⁵

$$\mu \mp K = \int dz \sqrt{g} [g_{ij} \delta\psi^{\dagger i} \delta\psi^j + \frac{1}{2} G_{T\bar{T}} \delta\chi^\dagger \delta\chi] \geq 0. \quad (6)$$

The i, j indices are for spatial directions. The minimum of the Bogomolnyi bound is achieved if Eq.(5) vanish. Again, the stringy domain wall is stabilized by the topological kink number.

Unfortunately, the nice holomorphic structure of the scalar potential is lost. In other words, there is now a *holomorphic anomaly* in the scalar potential due to the supergravity coupling. This implies that the path connecting two degenerate vacua in superpotential space is *not* a straight line. In fact, one can understand the motion as a *geodesic* path in a nontrivial Kähler metric, thus in $G(T, \bar{T})$. One can show (numerically) that in our example the path along the circle $T = \exp i\theta(z)$ with $\theta = (0, \pi/6)$, *i.e.*, the self-dual line of $T \rightarrow 1/T$ modular transformation, is the *geodesic* path connecting between $T = 1$ and $T = \rho$ in the scalar potential space. Thus, we have again established an existence of stable domain walls. The superpotential is quite complicated, however, the numerical solution in can be obtained.²¹

It is interesting to note that stringy cosmic strings^{#1} can be viewed as boundaries of our domain walls. Because the domain wall number is two, the intersection of two such domain walls is precisely the line of stringy cosmic strings. On the other hand such stable domain walls are disastrous from the cosmological point of view. One possible solution to this problem is that after supersymmetry breaking, the degeneracy of the two minima is lifted. In that case, the domain wall becomes unstable via the false vacuum decay.²⁶

We would now like to point out²⁷ the existence of other global topological defects, like global monopole-type and texture-type defects in the moduli sector of string theory. Such defects could exist in the study of the symmetry structure of the effective theory when there are more than one modulus (which of course is a generic situation). We shall illustrate the idea using examples based on the so called flat backgrounds, *i.e.* generalization of $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$.

For that purpose we shall study the simplest example of Z_4 manifold with continuous symmetry $SU(2, 2)$ on the four moduli

$$\mathbf{T} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

of compactified space. Note that the moduli $\mathbf{T}$ live on the coset $SU(2, 2)/SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$. The continuous non-compact symmetry $SU(2, 2)$ is an *exact* symmetry²⁸ at least at the string tree-level. Note that this continuous symmetry in the modulus could be broken down to the discrete subgroup $SU(2, 2, Z)$ due to non-perturbative effects, *e.g.* gaugino condensation and/or axionic instanton effects. However, at this point we shall stick to the continuous symmetry. For the time being we shall keep in mind that $SU(2, 2, Z)$ is the vacuum symmetry and thus the $\mathbf{T}$ fields should live in the fundamental domain of $SU(2, 2, Z)$.

The maximal compact symmetry of $SU(2, 2)$ is $SU(2)_A \times SU(2)_B \times U(1) \subset SU(2)_{A+B}$. Note also that in projective coordinates:²⁹ $\mathbf{Z} = (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{T})/(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{T})$. $\mathbf{Z}$ transforms as $\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{3}$ under $SU(2)_{A+B}$. The ansatz $\mathbf{Z} = \sum_{a=1}^3 \sigma_a V_a$ with $V_a = f(r)x_a/r$ ensures the map of $\mathbf{Z}$ on the S^2 .

Let us concentrate now on the Lagrangian for the $\mathbf{Z}$ field and thus a specific solution for $f(r)$. Note that the $\mathbf{Z}$ fields have *no* potential to all orders in string loops. Thus the kinetic energy term²⁸ shrinks $f \rightarrow 0$ due to Derrick's theorem and thus should be stabilized by higher derivative terms. Such higher derivative terms arise even at the tree level of the string theory. They should respect the noncompact $SU(2, 2)$ symmetry. Also, if one sticks to terms with at most two

#1 It is intriguing that the present kink solitons also appear in integrable, supersymmetric two-dimensional $N = 2$ Landau-Ginzburg models²⁰

time derivatives, one has a unique form for the terms that involve four derivatives, which is very similar in nature to the Skyrme term³⁰ in the Skyrme model and can serve the same role as the stabilizing term. In this case $f = Cr$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ and $f = D/r^2$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The energy stored in such a configuration is finite. This is different from the standard global monopole configuration,³ which has $f \rightarrow f_0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ which has linearly divergent energy and thus long range interaction relevant for large scale formation.

Another interesting observation would be to study the texture-type configurations, which have a chance to occur within this sector. Namely, the $\mathbf{Z}$ fields transform as $\mathbf{4}$ under the compact symmetry $SU(2)_A \times SU(2)_B \sim SO(4)$ and thus the ansatz: $\mathbf{Z} = a(r) + b(r) \sum_{a=1}^3 \sigma_a x_a / r$ is mapped onto S^3 . The potential problem in this case is an impossibility to ensure $a^2(r) + b^2(r) = f^2$ where f is a constant. Interestingly, $\{a(r), b(r)\} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and thus the knot configuration disappears at large distances.

The above studied configurations are much milder defects than strings and domain walls and they have finite range and thus finite energy. Further study of cosmological implications of such global defects is under consideration.

I would like to thank my collaborators S. Griffies, F. Quevedo, and S.-J. Rey, for many fruitful discussions and enjoyable collaborations. I would also like to thank the Aspen Center for Physics, the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, and CERN for their hospitality. The work is supported in part by the U.S. DOE Grant DE-22418-281 and by a grant from University of Pennsylvania Research Foundation and by the NATO Research Grant #900-700.

REFERENCES

1. R. Davis, Gen. Rel. Grav. **19**, 331 (1987).
2. N. Turok, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 2625 (1989).
3. M. Barriola and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 341 (1989).
4. D. Bennett and S. Rhie, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 1709 (1990).
5. L. Perivolaropoulos, BROWN-HET-775 (November 1990).
6. D. Bennett and S. Rhie, UCRL-IC-104061 (June 1990).
7. M. Dine and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. **B301**, 357 (1988).
8. J. P. Derendinger, L. E. Ibáñez, and H. P. Nilles, Phys. Lett. **155B**, 65 (1985); M. Dine, R. Rohm, N. Seiberg, and E. Witten, Phys. Lett. **156B**, 55 (1985).

9. S.-J. Rey, *Axionic String Instantons and Their Low-Energy Implications*, Invited Talk at Tuscaloosa Workshop on Particle Theory and Superstrings, ed. L. Clavelli and B. Harm, World Scientific Pub., (November, 1989); Phys. Rev. D **43**, 526 (1991).
10. S. Ferrara, D. Lüster, A. Shapere, and S. Theisen, Phys. Lett **225B**, 363 (1989).
11. M. Cvetič, A. Font, L. E. Ibáñez, D. Lüster, and F. Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. **B361** (1991) 194.
12. V. Kaplunovsky, Nucl. Phys. **B307**, 145 (1988).
13. L. Dixon, V. Kaplunovsky, and J. Louis, Nucl. Phys. **B355**, 649 (1991); J. Louis, SLAC-PUB-5527 (April 1991).
14. A. Font, L. E. Ibáñez, D. Lüster, and F. Quevedo, Phys. Lett. **245B**, 401 (1990); S. Ferrara, N. Magnoli, T. R. Taylor, and G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. **245B**, 409 (1990); P. Binetruy and M. K. Gaillard, Phys. Lett. **253B**, 119 (1991).
15. H. P. Nilles and M. Olechowski, Phys. Lett. **248B**, 268 (1990).
16. M. Cvetič, S. J. Rey and F. Quevedo, “Stringy Domain Walls and Target Space Duality”, UPR-0445-T (April 1991) to appear in Phys. Rev. Lett.
17. J. E. Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 103 (1979); M. Dine, W. Fischler, and M. Srednicki, Phys. Lett. **104B**, 199 (1981); and Nucl. Phys. **B189**, 575 (1981); M. B. Wise, H. Georgi, and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 402 (1981); A good review is by J. E. Kim, Phys. Rep. **150**, 1 (1987).
18. P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1156 (1982); G. Lazarides and Q. Shafi, Phys. Lett. **115B** (1982) 21; For a review, see A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rep. **121**, 263 (1985).
19. B. Schoeneberg, *Elliptic Modular Functions*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg (1970); J. Lehner, *Discontinuous Groups and Automorphic Functions*, ed. by the American Mathematical Society, (1964).
20. P. Fendley, S. Mathur, C. Vafa and N.P. Warner, Phys. Lett. **B243**(1990) 257.
21. M. Cvetič, S. Griffies, and S.-J. Rey, *Physical Implications of Stringy Domain Walls*, UPR-471.
22. S. Ferrara, D. Lüster, A. Shapere and S. Theisen, Phys. Lett. **B225**, (1989) 363.
23. M. Cvetič, A. Font, L.E. Ibáñez, D. Lüster and F. Quevedo, *Target Space Duality, Supersymmetry Breaking and the Stability of Classical String Vacua*, Nucl. Phys. **B361** (1991) 194.

- 24. A. Vilenkin, Phys. Lett. **133B** (1983) 177: J. Ipser and P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. **D30** (1984) 712.
- 25. E. Witten, Comm. Math. Phys. **80** (1981) 381.
- 26. S. Coleman, Phys. Rev. **D15** (1977) 2929: C. Callan and S. Coleman, Phys. Rev. **D16** (1977) 1762.
- 27. M.Cvetič, F. Quevedo, and S.-J. Rey, in preparation.
- 28. M. Cvetič, J. Louis, and B. Ovrut, Phys. Lett. **206B**, 227 (1988) and Phys. Rev. D **40**, 684 (1989).
- 29. R. Gilmore, Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Some of Their Applications, John Wiley and Sons, (1974).
- 30. Skyrme, G. Adkins, C. Nappi, and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B228**, 5521 (1983).